

Mirror Symmetry on Arbitrary Dimensional Calabi-Yau Manifold with a few moduli

Masaru Nagura^{*†}

*Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We calculate the B-model on the mirror pair of $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$, which is an $(N - 2)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold and has two marginal operators i.e. $h^{1,1}(X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)) = 2$. In [1] we have discussed about mirror symmetry on $X_N(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and its mirror pair. However, $X_N(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ had only one moduli. In this paper we extend its methods to the case with a few moduli using toric geometry.

1 Introduction

In [1] Jinzenji and myself discussed about mirror symmetry of arbitrary dimensional case. In [3] Hosono et.al., on the other hand, established a method dealing with mirror symmetry with a few moduli. But they dealt with the only case of 3-dimension. We want to unite these methods, i.e. to consider arbitrary dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold with a few moduli. We will realize that idea especially in the case of $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$. But

^{*}A fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Japanese Junior Scientists

[†]nagura@danjuro.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

it will be appereant later that our method is valid in other models such as $X_{4N-8}(2N-4, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$, $X_{4N-6}(2N-3, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 1)$, $X_{6(N-3)}(3(N-3), N-3, N-3, 1, \dots, 1)$, $X_{4(N-3)}(N-3, N-3, N-3, 1, \dots, 1)$ and so on [7].

2 Toric data for $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$

The method of toric geometry is the most suitable way to deal with Calabi-Yau manifolds and their embedding spaces systematically.

The integral convex polyhedron which is associated with $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$ is the convex hull of the integral vectors in R^{N-1} :

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1 &= (N-2, -1, \dots, -1, -1), \quad \nu_2 = (-1, N-2, -1, \dots, -1, -1), \quad (1) \\ \dots \nu_{N-1} &= (-1, -1, -1, \dots, -1, N-2), \\ \nu_N &= (-1, -1, -1, \dots, -1). \end{aligned}$$

For later convenience we call it Δ . A complete rational fan $\Sigma(\Delta)$ is associated to Δ . The toric variety P_Δ is defined as the toric variety associated to the fan $\Sigma(\Delta)$. In our case, of course, $P_\Delta = P_{\Sigma(\Delta)} = P^{N-1}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$. (For detail see [4].) Furthermore we naturally associate to Δ a Calabi-Yau hypersurface which is defined as the zero locus Z_f of the following Laurent polynomial

$$f(a, X) = \sum_i a_i X^{\nu_i}, \quad (2)$$

here $X^\nu = X_1^{\nu_1} \dots X_{N-1}^{\nu_{N-1}}$ and ν_i runs over all the integral points in and on Δ . The integral convex polyhedron which is associated with its mirror pair, let us call it Δ^* , is also a convex hull of integral vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1^* &= (1, 0, \dots, 0, 0), \quad \nu_2^* = (0, 1, \dots, 0, 0), \quad \dots \nu_{N-1}^* = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \quad (3) \\ \nu_N^* &= (-2, -2, \dots, -2, -1). \end{aligned}$$

Fortunately there are always only two integral points which lie on the face of Δ^* or its interior.

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0^* &= (0, 0, \dots, 0, 0), \\ \nu_{N+1}^* &= (-1, -1, \dots, -1, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

note that $\nu_{N+1}^* = (-1, -1, \dots, -1, 0)$ is on the edge of codimension $(N-2)$. Thus

$$h^{1,1}(\Delta) = h^{N-3,1}(\Delta^*) = 2. \quad (5)$$

The A-model associated with Δ the B-model associated with Δ^* is 2-parameter system of $(N-2)$ -dimension. This is the simplest model suitable for our aim.

3 The Picard-Fuchs differential equation

Let us introduce $\bar{\nu}_i = (1, \nu_i) \in R^N$ for each $\nu_i \in R^{N-1}$. And let us define L as

$$L = \{(l_0, l_1, \dots, l_N) \in Z^{N+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^N l_i \bar{\nu}_i = 0\}. \quad (6)$$

By an integral basis $\{l_i\}$ L can be expanded as follows

$$L = Zl^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus Zl^{(h)}. \quad (7)$$

where $h = h^{1,1}(\Delta) = h^{N-3,1}(\Delta^*)$. According to Batyrev [5] the Picard-Fuchs defferential equations for the Calabi-Yau space which is naturally defined in P_{Δ^*} as zero locus of the polynomial $f = \sum a_i X^{\nu_i^*} = 0$ are constructed in terms of $L = Zl^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus Zl^{(h)}$. For any $l^{(k)} \in L$, we obtain the Picard-Fuchs equations;

$$\left\{ \prod_{l_i^{(k)}} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{l_i^{(k)}-1} (\Theta_j - i) \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{|l_0^{(k)}|} (i - |l_0^{(k)}| - \Theta_0) \prod_{l_i^{(k)}, j \neq 0} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{|l_i^{(k)}|-1} (\Theta_j + |l_i^{(k)}| - i) \right) x_k \right\} \Pi(x) = 0 \quad (8)$$

where

$$x_k = (-1)^{l_0^{(k)}} a^{l^{(k)}}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\Theta_j = \sum_{k=1}^h l_j^{(k)} x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}.$$

However the integral basis for L is not always unique. We choose the basis $\{l_i\}$ to be the basis of Mori cone which is unique in the case of $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$ ¹, since such a basis is associated to a good coordinate via (9) to describe the

¹The number of Mori cone corresponds to the number of desingularization of P_{Δ^*} (P_{Δ})

large radius limit structure. For the case of $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$ we have a basis of Mori cone;

$$\begin{aligned} l^{(1)} &= (-(N-1), 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, 1), \\ l^{(2)} &= (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 1, -2). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then we have two Picard-Fuchs differential equations. For $l^{(1)}$

$$\theta_x^{N-3}(\theta_x - \theta_y) - (N-1)x((N-1)\theta_x + (N-2))((N-1)\theta_x + (N-3)) \cdots ((N-1)\theta_x + 1)\Pi = 0, \quad (11)$$

and for $l^{(2)}$

$$\theta_y^2 - y(2\theta_y - \theta_x + 1)(2\theta_y - \theta_x)\Pi = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$x = x_1, \quad y = x_2,$$

and

$$\theta_x = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \theta_y = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

4 $(N-2)$ -point Yukawa coupling

Since there are two marginal operators, we have $(N-1)$ kinds of $(N-2)$ -point Yukawa coupling. Let $W^{i,j}$ be

$$W^{(i, N-2-i)} \equiv \int \Omega \wedge (\bar{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}})^i (\bar{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}})^{N-2-i} \Omega, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\bar{x} = (N-1)^{(N-1)} x_1, \quad \bar{y} = 4x_2.$$

From the Picard-Fuchs equation (11) (12) and the fact that $W^{(i,j)} = 0$ for $i+j < N-2$ we obtain a recursion relation that

$$(1 - \bar{y})W^{(N-4-i, i+2)} + \bar{y}W^{(N-3-i, i+1)} - \bar{y}/4W^{(N-2-i, i)} = 0. \quad (14)$$

Then $W^{(N-2-i, i)}$ can be expressed as

$$W^{(N-2, 0)} \times (\text{Rational expression of } \bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

From $\theta_x(11)$ and $\theta_y(12)$ and trivial relations:

$$\begin{aligned} W^{(N-1,0)} &= \frac{N-1}{2}(x\partial_x)W^{(N-2,0)}, \\ W^{(N-2,1)} &= \frac{N-2}{2}(x\partial_x)W^{(N-3,1)} + \frac{1}{2}(y\partial_y)W^{(N-2,0)}, \\ W^{(N-3,2)} &= \frac{N-3}{2}(x\partial_x)W^{(N-4,2)} + \frac{2}{2}(y\partial_y)W^{(N-3,1)}, \end{aligned}$$

it turns out

$$W^{(N-2,0)} = \{(1 - \bar{x})^2 - \bar{x}^2\bar{y}\}^{-1} \times \text{constant}. \quad (15)$$

Thus we can express all the $(N-2)$ -point Yukawa couplings as rational expressions of $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ up to constant.

5 Transformation to the A-model

Obviously the Picard-Fuchs equation (11) and (12) are maximally unipotent at $x = y = 0$. Regular solution around $x = y = 0$ is

$$\omega_0(x, y) = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{\{n_1(N-1)\}!}{(n_1!)^{N-2}(n_2!)^2(n_1-2n_2)!} x^{n_1} y^{n_2}. \quad (16)$$

Solutions which have singularity of $\log x$ or $\log y$ at $x = y = 0$ are

$$\omega_x(x, y) = \omega_0(x, y) \log x \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \{(N-1)\Psi((N-1)n_1+1) - (N-2)\Psi(n_1+1) \\ &- \Psi((n_1-2n_2+1))\} c(n) x^{n_1} y^{n_2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega_y(x, y) = \omega_0(x, y) \log y \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \{-2\Psi(n_2+1) + 2\Psi(n_1-2n_2+1)\} c(n) x^{n_1} y^{n_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c(n_1, n_2) = \frac{\{n_1(N-1)\}!}{(n_1!)^{N-2}(n_2!)^2(n_1-2n_2)!}$$

The mirror maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned} t_x &= \omega_x / \omega_0 \\ (t_y &= \omega_y / \omega_0). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

But these mirror maps are not necessarily equal to the variable $\tilde{t}_i$ associated with the integral cohomology basis $h_i \in H^{1,1}(X, Z)$. In [3] Hosono et.al. solved this problem using several ansatzs. At first let the relation between t_i and $\tilde{t}_i$ be linear;

$$t_i = \sum_j m_{ij} \tilde{t}_j, \quad m_{ij} \in Z \quad (20)$$

We need some knowledge of Kähler cone to determine m_{ij} . Kähler cone K_u is identified with the set of the strictly convex piecewise linear functions u which is defined in a convex hull of the origin and the set $(1, \Delta^*)$. Using the basis of Mori cone $\{l^{(i)}\}$ the inequalities which is equivalent to the very condition that u is strictly convex is expressed as $\langle u, l^{(i)} \rangle > 0$. Furthermore in terms of $\{l^{(i)}\}$, K_u can be written as

$$K_u = \sum_i c_i \left(\sum_k n_{ik} \langle u, l^{(k)} \rangle \right) e_{\nu_i^*}, \quad (21)$$

where $n_{ij} \in Z, c_i \in Q$. (See Appendix for detail.)

On the other hand, from (20) we have in the large radius limit $X_k \rightarrow 0$,

$$t_k \sim \log x_k \sim \sum_i (\log a_i) l_i^{(k)} \ll 0. \quad (22)$$

From this observation we postulate the asymptotic relation that $u_i = \log a_i$. Thus we have

$$K_u = \sum_i \sum_{j,k}^h c_i n_{ij} m_{jk} \tilde{t}_k e_{\nu_i^*}.$$

Furthermore we postulate the r.h.s. should be

$$= \sum_i \tilde{c}_i \tilde{t}_i e_{\nu_i^*}. \quad (23)$$

Then

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} (N-1)\tilde{c}_1/c_1 & -\tilde{c}_2/c_2 \\ 0 & 2\tilde{c}_2/c_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the fact of algebraic geometry that

$$\begin{aligned} J \cdot J \cdots J \cdot J &= 2^{N-2}, \\ J \cdot J \cdots J \cdot D &= 0, \\ J \cdot J \cdots J \cdot D \cdot D &= -2^{N-2}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

where J is the canonical divisor on $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$ and D is the exceptional one, the $(N-2)$ -point Yukawa coupling should have the following classical asymptotic feature ($x_k \rightarrow 0$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\tilde{t}_x \dots \tilde{t}_x} &= 2^{N-2} + O(e^t), \\ W_{\tilde{t}_x \dots \tilde{t}_x \tilde{t}_y} &= 0 + O(e^t), \\ W_{\tilde{t}_x \dots \tilde{t}_x \tilde{t}_y \tilde{t}_y} &= -2^{N-2} + O(e^t), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} c & c \\ 0 & -c \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \text{ is a constant.}$$

This "c" is corresponding to the constant in (15). From the requirement that the coefficient of higher degree of the Yukawa couplings should be integral, we finally obtain $c = 1$:

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

Now we can carry out the calculation of the $(N-2)$ -point Yukawa coupling of the A-model on $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$;

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\tilde{t}_x \dots \tilde{t}_x \tilde{t}_y \dots \tilde{t}_y} = & \quad (25) \\ \frac{1}{\omega_0(x(\tilde{t})^2)} \sum_{i, \dots, j, k, \dots, l} & \frac{\partial x_i(\tilde{t})}{\partial \tilde{t}_x} \dots \frac{\partial x_j(\tilde{t})}{\partial \tilde{t}_x} \frac{\partial x_k(\tilde{t})}{\partial \tilde{t}_k} \dots \frac{\partial x_l(\tilde{t})}{\partial \tilde{t}_y} \frac{1}{x_i(\tilde{t}) \dots x_j(\tilde{t}) x_k(\tilde{t}) \dots x_l(\tilde{t})} W_{x_i \dots x_j x_k \dots x_l} \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

We considered $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$ and its mirror pair in terms of toric geometry. We obtained the concrete formula of the $(N-2)$ -point correlation functions and the mirror map. We should also remark that this method is valid in another model [7].

Appendix: Strictly convex piecewise linear function and Kähler cone

Oda and Park [6] have shown how to calculate the kähler cone of P_Δ based on the toric data encoded in the polyhedron Δ .

Consider the dual polyhedron Δ^* of Δ . (Suppose they are (N-2) dimensional for later convenience.) And extend Δ^* to the polyhedron $\bar{\Delta}^*$ ($\in R^{N-1}$) which is a convex hull of the origin and the set $(1, \Delta^*)$. Let $\bar{\Xi}$ be the set of integral points in $\bar{\Delta}^*$ which is not inside a codimension one face of $\bar{\Delta}^*$. Next let us imagine a simplicial decomposition of $\bar{\Delta}^*$ induced by a simplicial decomposition of Δ^* . A piecewise linear function u is determined by assigning real values u_j to each integral point $\bar{\nu}_j^* \in \bar{\Xi}$. If vertices of a (N-1)dimensional simplex σ_i are given by $\bar{\nu}_{i_1}^*, \dots, \bar{\nu}_{i_{N-1}}^* \in \bar{\Xi}$, then the piecewise linear function u restricted in σ is defined as

$$u(v) = c_{i_1} u_{i_1} + \dots + c_{i_{N-1}} u_{i_{N-1}}, \quad (26)$$

where v is an arbitrary point in σ_i which is written as $v = c_{i_1} \bar{\nu}_{i_1}^* + \dots + c_{i_{N-1}} \bar{\nu}_{i_{N-1}}^*$.

Equivalently, the piecewise linear function u can be described by a set of vectors $Z_\sigma \in Z^{N-1}$ such that

$$u(v) = \langle Z_\sigma, v \rangle, \quad v \in \sigma, \quad (27)$$

where σ runs in the set of the simplex of $\bar{\Delta}^*$. A strictly convex piecewise linear function u is a piecewise linear function u with the property;

$$\begin{aligned} u(v) &= \langle Z_\sigma, v \rangle, \quad \text{for } v \in \sigma, \\ u(v) &> \langle Z_\sigma, v \rangle, \quad \text{for } v \in \sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The set of the strictly convex piecewise linear functions has a structure of a cone, since if u is a strictly convex piecewise linear function then so is λu for $\lambda > 0$.

According to Oda and park, the strictly convex piecewise linear functions constitute a cone in a quotient space

$$\begin{aligned} V' &= W'_1 / \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} \langle x, \bar{\xi} \rangle e_\xi \mid x \in R^{N-1} \right\}, \\ W'_1 &= \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} Re_\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where e_ξ is the basis of the vector space W'_1 , Ξ is the set of integral points in Δ which is not inside a codimension one face of Δ and $\bar{\xi} = (1, \xi)$. If we restrict V' to the region satisfying the inequalities (29), we have a cone. The kähler cone is identified with this cone.

In the case of $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$, the $\bar{\Delta}^*$ decompose into $(2N-2)$ simplexes. $(2N-2)$ simplexes consists of $\sigma_{1,N}, \dots, \sigma_{N-2,N}, \sigma_{1,N-1}, \dots, \sigma_{N-2,N-1}, \sigma_{N-1,N+1}$ and $\sigma_{N,N+1}$, where $\sigma_{i,j} = \langle 0, \bar{\nu}_0^*, \dots, \check{\nu}_i^*, \dots, \check{\nu}_j^*, \dots, \bar{\nu}_{N+1}^* \rangle$. Then applying (29) to these simplexes, we obtain two independent inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} -(N-1)u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_{N-2} + u_{N+1} &> 0, \\ u_{N-1} + u_N - 2u_{N+1} &> 0, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

If we write these inequalities in the form $\langle u, l^{(k)} \rangle > 0$, the $l^{(k)}$ form a particular basis for the lattice of L of the points $\bar{\Xi}$ (see (6)). This basis generates the cone called *Mori cone*. These inequalities produce the Kähler cone for $X_{2N-2}(2, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} K_u &\equiv - \sum u_i e_{\nu_i^*} \\ \text{mod}(e_{\nu_0^*} + e_{\nu_1^*} + \dots + e_{\nu_N^*} + e_{\nu_{N+1}^*} &= 0, \\ e_{\nu_1^*} - 2e_{\nu_N^*} - e_{\nu_{N+1}^*} &= 0, \dots, e_{\nu_{N-2}^*} - 2e_{\nu_N^*} - e_{\nu_{N+1}^*} = 0, e_{\nu_{N-1}^*} - e_{\nu_N^*} = 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \{-2(N-1)u_0 + 2(u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_{N-2}) + u_{N-1} + u_N\} e_{\nu_0^*} + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(u_{N-1} + u_N - 2u_{N+1}) e_{\nu_{N+1}^*} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

If we write the above using Mori cone's basis $\{l^{(k)}\}$ $k = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$K_u = \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \langle u, 2l^{(1)} + l^{(2)} \rangle e_{\nu_0^*} + \frac{1}{2} \langle u, l^{(2)} \rangle e_{\nu_{N+1}^*}. \quad (32)$$

References

- [1] M.Jinzenji and M. Nagura, Mirror Symmetry and An Exact Calculation of N-2 Point Correlation Function on Calabi-Yau Manifold embedded in CP^{N-1} , UT-680, hep-th 9409029
- [2] M.Nagura and K.Sugiyama, “*Mirror Symmetry of K3 Surface*”, UT-663, to appear in Int.J. of Mod. Phys.
- [3] S. Hosono,A. Klemm,S. Theisen and S. T. Yau, Mirror Symmetry, Mirror Map and Applications to Calabi-Yau Hypersurface, HUTMP-93/0801,LMU-TPW-93-22
- [4] T. Oda, Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry, (An Introduction to the Theory of Toric Varieties),Kinokuniya-shoten (*in japanese*)
- [5] V. Batyrev, Dual Polyhedra and the Mirror Symmetry for Calabi-Yau Hypersurfaces in Toric Varieties, Journal of Alg.Geom and Duke Math. Journal, Vol.69,No 2, (1993) 349
- [6] T. Oda and H. S. Park, Tohoku Math. J. 43 (1991)375
- [7] M. Nagura,UT-690,(in preparation)